

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
-00/002-007	0.37 2.27 37	BIND		v		
DONALD S DOW		PM52/09	⁰² 7	BLUM.T	EXAMINER	
COOPER & DUN 1185 AVENUE NEW YORK NY	OF THE AME	ERICAS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				DATE MAILED:	<i>O</i> 09/02/98	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (REV. 2/95)

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

1 - File Copy

	Application No. 08/8620		Applicant(s)	BIRD		
Office Action Summary	Examiner .		m	Group Art Unit 3642	-	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet be	neath the co		idress	
Period for Response						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	<u>3-</u>	MONTH	H(S) FROM THE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defar Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, b 	response within the ult, expire SIX (6) MC	statutory	/ minimum of th rom the mailing	irty (30) days will be o	considered timely.	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	or formal matters,	prosec				
Disposition of Claims						
$\mathbb{C}_{\text{laim(s)}} = 1-49$	is/are p	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)		is/are v	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
©Claim(s) 1-27	is/are a	is/are rejected.is/are objected to.are subject to restriction or election				
√Claim(s) 78-49	is/are r					
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are o					
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————						
Application Papers			require	ment.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Exami	ner.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th □ received. 	e priority docume	nts hav	e been			
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the International 				···		

Attachment(s)

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-802	□ Notice of Informal Patent Appl

□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

*Certified copies not received:_____

 \square Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

□ Other_____

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Office Action Summary

Serial Number 08/862039

Art Unit 3642

- 1. Applicant is reminded of the continuing obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to timely apprise the Office of any litigation information, or other prior or concurrent proceeding, involving Patent No. 5,418,537, which is material to patentability of the claims under consideration in this reissue application. This obligation rests with each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of this application for reissue. See MPEP §§ 1404, 1442.01 and 1442.04.
- 2. An offer to surrender patent No. 5,418,537 is acknowledged.
- 3. The reissue declaration filed July 23, 1988 is defective since it has not been signed.
- 4. The reissue declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to contain a statement that all errors which are being corrected in the reissue application up to the time of filing of the declaration arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414.

MERY

5. Claims 28-49 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 7. Claims 28-34, 36, 38-40, 42, and 44-49, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Song (5,208,756).

Song teaches the claimed method and apparatus for disclosing the present location of a vehicle including: means (19) for performing in-vehicle processing of signals to obtain a fix of vehicle location, paging request responder means (28 and column 7, lines 43-68) for receiving a paging request, communication device (23, 25, and column 10, lines 38-49) "separate" from the paging request responder (28) for transmitting the fix to a designated service center (17), a plurality of satellites (column 1, lines 28-38), using a cellular telephone (Abstract), and notifying police

(column 1, lines 23-27, and column 2, lines 13-17). The communication device (23 and 25) is "separate" from the paging request responder (28), see Figure 2.

- 8. Claims 35, 37, 41, and 43, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song (5,208,756) in view of Darnell et al (5,043,736).

 To increase the accuracy of the location system of Song, obviously the location unit 19 of Song can be the GPS type taught by Darnell et al.
- 9. Claims 1-27 are allowed.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Theodore Blum at telephone number (703) 305-1833.

Theodore M. Blum
THEODORE M. BLUM

EXAMINER

GROUP ART UNIT 3642